arXiv:1405.4061v5 [math.GT] 23 May 2015

CHARACTERIZATION OF POSITIVE LINKS AND THE s-INVARIANT FOR LINKS

TETSUYA ABE AND KEIJI TAGAMI

Abstract. We characterize positive links in terms of strong quasipositivity, homogeneity and the value of Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's s-invariant. We also study almost positive links, in particular, determine the s-invariants of almost positive links. This result suggests that all almost positive links might be strongly quasipositive. On the other hand, it implies that almost positive links are never homogeneous links.

1. Introduction

A link is called *positive* if it has a diagram with only positive crossings, which is defined combinatorially. On the other hand, Nakamura [31] and Rudolph [44] proved that positive links are strongly quasipositive links, which are defined geometrically. It is natural to consider the following question.

Question 1.1. *Find differences between positive links and strongly quasipositive links.*

Cromwell [11] introduced a class of links, which is called homogeneous links. A homogeneous link is a generalization of positive links from the combinatorial view points. Baader [3] proved that a knot is positive if and only if it is strongly quasipositive and homogeneous, answering Question 1.1 in the case of knots (see also [1]). One can obviously apply Baader's proof to the case of links and obtain the following.

Theorem 1.2 (cf.[3])**.** *A non-split link is positive if and only if strongly quasipositive and homogeneous.*

We generalize the above theorem as follows:

Theorem 1.3 (Main result 1)**.** *Let L be a non-split link with $\sharp L$ components. Then* (1)–(4) *are equivalent.*
(1) *L is positive,*
(2) *L is homogeneous and strongly quasipositive,*
(3) *L is homogeneous, quasipositive and $g_*(L) = g(L)$,*
(4) *L is homogeneous and $s(L) = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = 2g(L) + (\sharp L - 1)$,*
where $s(L)$ is Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's s-invariant of L, $g_*(L)$ is the four-ball genus of L and $g(L)$ is the three-genus of L.

We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 7. The key of the proof is the computation of the s-invariant of homogeneous links (see Sections 3-7).

In this paper, we also study almost positive links. An *almost positive link* is a non-positive link which is represented by a diagram with exactly one negative crossing. In general, it is hard to distinguish almost positive links from positive links. We consider the following question.

Date: May 26, 2015.

Question 1.4. *Find similarities and differences between positive links and almost positive links.*

There are some similarities between them (cf. [10], [11], [38], [39], [48], and [55]). One of the interesting and expected similarities is Stoimenow's question:

Question 1.5 ([49, Question 4])**.** *Is any almost positive link strongly quasipositive, or at least quasipositive?*

We give an evidence towards to an affirmative answer to Question 1.5 as follows.

Theorem 1.6 (Main result 2)**.** *Let L be a non-split link with $\sharp L$ components. If L is almost positive or strongly quasipositive, then*

$$s(L) = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = 2g(L) + (\sharp L - 1).$$

Moreover, we determine the s-invariant of an almost positive link in terms of its almost positive diagram (see Theorem 8.2). We also confirm Question 1.5 for fibered almost positive knots (Theorem 9.16) and almost positive knots up to 12 crossings in Section 9.

On the other hand, there are some differences between positive links and almost positive links. In this paper, we give a significant difference between them. In fact, we prove the following.

Corollary 1.7 (Main result 3)**.** *Any almost positive link is not homogeneous.*

Note that positive links are homogeneous and this corollary follows from Theorems 1.3 and 1.6, see Section 8. Moreover, using Corollary 1.7, we give infinitely many knots which are pseudo-alternating and are not homogeneous (which are counterexamples of Kauffman's conjecture (Conjecture 10.2)).

Proposition 1.8 (Main result 4)**.** *There are infinitely many knots which are pseudo-alternating and are not homogeneous.*

This manuscript is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall the Khovanov homology, the Lee homology and Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's s-invariant. In Section 3, we recall Kawamura-Lobb's inequality for the s-invariant and its proof. In Section 4, we consider when the upper bound and the lower bound of Kawamura-Lobb's inequality coincides. The answer is when the diagram is homogeneous. In Section 5, we determine the difference between the Kawamura-Lobb's inequality and "Kawamura's inequality". In Section 6, we compute the s-invariants of strongly quasipositive links. In Section 7, we give a characterization of positive links. In Section 8, we compute the s-invariants of almost positive links. As a corollary, we prove that any almost positive link is not homogeneous (Corollary 1.7). In Section 9, we consider the strong quasipositivities of almost positive knots with up to 12 crossings. In Section 10, we give infinitely many counterexamples of Kauffman's conjecture on pseudo-alternating links and alternative links.

2. Khovanov homology, Lee homology and Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's invariant

Khovanov [22] constructed a bigraded homology for any link whose graded Euler characteristic is the Jones polynomial ([16], [17]). It is called the Khovanov homology. Lee [24] modified this theory and constructed a single-graded homology for any link. We call this homology Lee homology. Lee also gave a spectral sequence whose E_1 term is the Khovanov homology and E_∞ term is the Lee homology. In

this paper, we denote the Khovanov homology and the Lee homology of an oriented link L by $H_{Kh}(L)$ and $H_{Lee}(L)$, respectively.

By utilizing Lee's spectral sequence, Rasmussen [41] defined a knot invariant, now called the Rasmussen invariant or s-invariant. Later, Beliakova and Wehrli [8] defined the Rasmussen invariants for links. For any knot, Beliakova-Wehrli's invariant is equal to the Rasmussen invariant. Throughout this paper, we call the invariant *Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's invariant* or *s-invariant for links*.

For knots, Kronheimer and Mrowka [23] gave a gauge theoretical interpretation of the s-invariant. In this paper, we do not use this interpretation. However, note that, this point of view may provide further properties of the s-invariant. For example, we expect that it reveals properties of the s-invariant of fibered knots.

In this section, we recall Khovanov homology, Lee homology and Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's invariant.

2.1. **Khovanov homology.** In this subsection, we recall the definition of (rational) Khovanov homology.

Let L be an oriented link. Take an oriented diagram D of L and an ordering of the crossings of D. For each crossing of D, we define 0-*smoothing* and 1-*smoothing* as in Figure 1. A *smoothing* of D is a diagram where each crossing of D is changed to either its 0-smoothing or 1-smoothing. Then D has 2^n smoothings, where n is



FIGURE 1. 0-smoothing and 1-smoothing.

the number of crossings of D. By using the given ordering of the crossings of D, we have a natural bijection between the set of smoothings of D and the set $\{0,1\}^n$. Namely, to any $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{0,1\}^n$, we associate the smoothing D_ε where the i-th crossing of D is ε_i-smoothed. Each smoothing D_ε is a collection of disjoint circles.

Let V be a graded free $\mathbf{Q}$-module generated by 1 and X with $\deg(1) = 1$ and $\deg(X) = -1$. Let k_ε be the number of the circles of the smoothing D_ε. Put $M_\varepsilon = V^{\otimes k_\varepsilon}$. Naturally, M_ε has a graded module structure, that is, for a monomial $v = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k_\varepsilon} \in M_\varepsilon$, we define $\deg(v) := \deg(v_1) + \cdots + \deg(v_{k_\varepsilon})$. Then we set

$$C^i(D) := \bigoplus_{|\varepsilon|=i} M_\varepsilon\{i\},$$

where $|\varepsilon| = \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i$. Here, $M_\varepsilon\{i\}$ denotes M_ε with its gradings shifted by i (for a graded module $M = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} M^j$ and an integer i, we define the graded module $M\{i\} = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} M\{i\}^j$ by $M\{i\}^j = M^{j-i}$).

The differential map $d^i \colon C^i(D) \to C^{i+1}(D)$ is given as follows. Fix an ordering of the circles for each smoothing D_ε and associate the i-th tensor factor of M_ε to the i-th circle of D_ε. Take elements ε and $\varepsilon' \in \{0,1\}^n$ such that $\varepsilon_j = 0$ and $\varepsilon'_j = 1$ for some j and that $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i$ for any $i \neq j$. For such a pair $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$, we will define a map $d_{\varepsilon\to\varepsilon'} \colon M_\varepsilon \to M_{\varepsilon'}$ as follows.

In the case where two circles of D_ε merge into one circle of $D_{\varepsilon'}$, the map $d_{\varepsilon\to\varepsilon'}$ is the identity on all factors except the tensor factors corresponding to the merged circles where it is a multiplication map $m_{Kh} \colon V \otimes V \to V$ given by:

$$m_{Kh}(1 \otimes 1) = 1,\ m_{Kh}(1 \otimes X) = m_{Kh}(X \otimes 1) = X,\ m_{Kh}(X \otimes X) = 0.$$

In the case where one circle of D_ε splits into two circles of $D_{\varepsilon'}$, the map $d_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon'}$ is the identity on all factors except the tensor factor corresponding to the split circle where it is a comultiplication map $\Delta_{Kh} \colon V \to V \otimes V$ given by:

$$\Delta_{Kh}(1) = 1 \otimes X + X \otimes 1,\ \Delta_{Kh}(X) = X \otimes X.$$

If there exist distinct integers i and j such that $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon'_i$ and that $\varepsilon_j \neq \varepsilon'_j$, then define $d_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon'} = 0$.

In this setting, we define a map $d^i \colon C^i(D) \to C^{i+1}(D)$ by $\sum_{|\varepsilon|=i} d^i_\varepsilon$, where $d^i_\varepsilon \colon M_\varepsilon \to C^{i+1}(D)$ is defined by

$$d^i_\varepsilon(v) := \sum_{|\varepsilon'|=i+1} (-1)^{l(\varepsilon,\varepsilon')} d_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon'}(v).$$

Here $v \in M_\varepsilon \subset C^i(D)$ and $l(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ is the number of 1's in front of (in our order) the factor of ε which is different from ε'.

We can check that $(C^i(D),\ d^i)$ is a cochain complex and we denote its i-th homology group by $H^i(D)$, which is called the *unnormalized Khovanov homology* of D. Since the map d^i preserves the grading of $C^i(D)$, the group $H^i(D)$ has a graded structure $H^i(D) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} H^{i,j}(D)$ induced by that of $C^i(D)$. For any link diagram D, we define its *Khovanov homology* $H^{i,j}_{Kh}(D)$ by

$$H^{i,j}_{Kh}(D) := H^{i+n_-, j-n_+ +2n_-}(D),$$

where n_+ and n_- are the number of the positive and negative crossings of D, respectively. The grading i is called the *homological degree* and j is called the *q-grading*. If we put $C^{i,j}_{Kh}(D) := C^{i+n_-, j-n_+ +2n_-}(D)$ and $d^i_{Kh} := d^{i+n_-}$, the Khovanov homology $H^{i,j}_{Kh}(D)$ is the homology group of the chain complex $(C^{i,j}_{Kh}(D), d^i_{Kh})$.

Theorem 2.1 ([6], [7] and [22])**.** *Let L be an oriented link and D a diagram of L. Then $H_{Kh}(L) := H_{Kh}(D)$ is a link invariant. Moreover, the graded Euler characteristic of the homology $H_{Kh}(L)$ equals the Jones polynomial of L, that is,*

$$V_L(t) = (q + q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^i q^j \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{i,j}_{Kh}(L) \Big|_{q=-t^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $V_L(t)$ is the Jones polynomial of L.

2.2. **Lee homology.** In this subsection, we recall the Lee homology.

At first, the i-th chain group $C^i_{Lee}(D)$ of Lee's chain complex is the same as those of Khovanov's chain complex, that is,

$$C^i_{Lee}(D) := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} C^{i,j}_{Kh}(D).$$

Next, in order to define Lee's differential, we define two linear maps $m_\Phi \colon V \otimes V \to V$ and $\Delta_\Phi : V \to V \otimes V$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m_\Phi(1 \otimes 1) = m_\Phi(1 \otimes x) = m_\Phi(x \otimes 1) = 0,\\ m_\Phi(x \otimes x) = 1,\\ \Delta_\Phi(1) = 0,\\ \Delta_\Phi(x) = 1 \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that m_Φ and Δ_Φ are of degree 4 on $C^{i,j}_{Kh}(D)$. By utilizing m_Φ and Δ_Φ instead of m_{Kh} and Δ_{Kh} in the construction of d^i_{Kh}, we obtain new differential map d^i_Φ. Then, we define Lee's differential d^i_{Lee} by $d^i_{Kh} + d^i_\Phi$. The *Lee homology* $H^i_{Lee}(D)$ of D is defined as the homology of the chain complex $(C^i_{Lee}(D), d^i_{Lee})$.

Theorem 2.2 ([24])**.** *Let L be an oriented link and D be an oriented link diagram of L. Then, $H^i_{Lee}(L) := H^i_{Lee}(D)$ is a link invariant.*

It is known that there are *canonical generators* of the Lee homology associated with orientations of the link. Let $(L, \mathfrak{o}_0)$ be an oriented link L with orientation $\mathfrak{o}_0$ and let D be a diagram of L. Let $\mathfrak{o}$ be an orientation of L (may not be $\mathfrak{o}_0$). Then the canonical generator $\mathfrak{s}_\mathfrak{o}$ associated with $\mathfrak{o}$ is given as follows: Let $D_{\varepsilon_\mathfrak{o}}$ be the smoothing where each crossing of D is smoothed with respect to the orientation $\mathfrak{o}$. First, assign $a := x + 1 \in V$ to the outer most circles of $D_{\varepsilon_\mathfrak{o}}$ whose orientations are counter clockwise. Second, we assign $b := x - 1$ to each circle of $D_{\varepsilon_\mathfrak{o}}$ which is connected to an a-assigned circle by a crossing of D. Repeatedly, we assign a or b to each circle of $D_{\varepsilon_\mathfrak{o}}$ so that two circles connected by a crossing of D have different assignments. Finally, we define $\mathfrak{s}_\mathfrak{o}$ by the element of $M_{\varepsilon_\mathfrak{o}}$ corresponding to the assignment. For example, see Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. An example of canonical generators. The 0-th Lee homology of L is generated by $[b \otimes b \otimes a \otimes b]$ and $[a \otimes a \otimes b \otimes a]$. The -1-th Lee homology of L is generated by $[b \otimes a \otimes b \otimes b]$ and $[a \otimes b \otimes a \otimes a]$.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n$ be an oriented link with orientation $\mathfrak{o}_0 = (\mathfrak{o}^1_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{o}^n_0)$. For any orientation $\mathfrak{o} = (\mathfrak{o}^1, \ldots, \mathfrak{o}^n)$ of L, we set

$$E(\mathfrak{o}) := \{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid \mathfrak{o}^i \neq \mathfrak{o}^i_0\}.$$

We also set

$$i(\mathfrak{o}) := \sum_{\substack{j \in E(\mathfrak{o}) \\ k \notin E(\mathfrak{o})}} 2lk(L_j, L_k),$$

where $lk(L_j, L_k)$ is the linking number between $(L_j, \mathfrak{o}_0^j)$ and $(L_k, \mathfrak{o}_0^k)$. Here, we can check that

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} \in H_{Lee}^{i(\mathfrak{o})}(L, \mathfrak{o}_0).$$

Then, Lee proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 ([24, Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3])**.** *Let $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$ be an oriented link with orientation $\mathfrak{o}_0 = (\mathfrak{o}_0^1, \dots, \mathfrak{o}_0^n)$.*

1. *We have* $\dim H_{Lee}(D) = 2^n$.
2. *$H_{Lee}(L)$ is generated by $\{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}}\}_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}(L)}$, where $\mathfrak{O}(L)$ is the set of orientations of L.*
3. *We obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim H_{Lee}^i(L) &= \parallel \{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}(L) \mid i(\mathfrak{o}) = i\} \parallel \\ &= 2 \parallel \{E \subset \{2, \dots, n\} \mid \sum_{\substack{j \in E \\ k \notin E}} 2lk(L_j, L_k) = i\} \parallel .\end{aligned}$$

Lee also proved that $d_{Kh}^{i+1} \circ d_{Kh}^i = d_{\Phi}^{i+1} \circ d_{\Phi}^i = d_{Lee}^{i+1} \circ d_{Lee}^i = 0$. By the general argument of bicomplexes, we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.4 ([24])**.** *There is a spectral sequence starting from the Khovanov homology $H_{Kh}^i(L)$ converging to the Lee homology $H_{Lee}^i(L)$. In particular, for a diagram D of L, the spectral sequence is constructed from the filtration*

$$C_{Lee}^*(D) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}^n C_{Lee}^*(D) \supset \mathcal{F}^{n+1} C_{Lee}^*(D) \supset \cdots \supset \{0\},$$

where $\mathcal{F}^n C_{Lee}^*(D)$ is the subcomplex $(\bigoplus_{j \geq n} C_{Kh}^{*,j}(D), d_{Lee}^*)$.

2.3. **Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's s-invariant for links.** In this subsection, we recall Rasmussen, Beliakova and Wehrli's s-invariant for links and its basic properties.

Let L be an oriented link and D be an oriented link diagram of L. Let $i_n \colon \mathcal{F}^n C_{Lee}^*(D) \to C_{Lee}^*(D)$ be the inclusion given in Theorem 2.4. Then, the map i_n induces the homomorphism $i_n^* \colon H^*(\mathcal{F} C_{Lee}^*(D)) \to H_{Lee}^*(D)$. Set $i_n^*(H^*(\mathcal{F}^n C_{Lee}^*(D))) := \mathcal{F}^n H_{Lee}^*(D)$. We can see that an element $[x] \in H_{Lee}^*(D)$ is in $\mathcal{F}^n H_{Lee}^*(D)$ if and only if a representative of $[x]$ is in $\mathcal{F}^n C_{Lee}^*(D)$. Then, we obtain a filtration

$$H_{Lee}^*(D) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}^n H_{Lee}^*(D) \supset \mathcal{F}^{n+1} H_{Lee}^*(D) \supset \cdots \supset \{0\}$$

of $H_{Lee}^*(D)$. Note that the length of this filtration is finite. For any non-zero element $\mathfrak{s} \in H_{Lee}^*(D)$, we define the *filtered degree* $s(\mathfrak{s})$ of $\mathfrak{s}$ by

$$s(\mathfrak{s}) := \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{F}^n H_{Lee}^*(D)\}.$$

Rasmussen proved that for any $\mathfrak{s} \in H_{Lee}^*(D)$, its filtered degree is an invariant of L. See [41, Proposition 3.2].

Remark 2.5. For a monomial $v \in C_{Lee}^*(D)$, we define $q(v) := j$ if $v \in C_{Kh}^{*,j}(D) \subset C_{Lee}^*(D)$. For any non-zero element $v \in C_{Lee}^*(D)$, we define $q(v) := \min\{q(v_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n v_i, \text{where } v_i \text{ is a monomial}\}$. Then, the filtered degree $s(\mathfrak{s})$ of $\mathfrak{s} \in H_{Lee}^*(D)$ is given by $s(\mathfrak{s}) = \max\{q(v) \mid v \text{ is a representative of } \mathfrak{s}\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}}$ be the canonical generators of the Lee homology of L corresponding to the orientation of L and opposite orientation, respectively. It is known that the filtered degree of $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} + \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} - \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}}$ differ by exactly two. Then, the s-invariant $s(L)$ of L is defined as

$$s(L) := \frac{s(\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} + \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}}) + s(\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} - \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}})}{2}.$$

It is known that the parity of $s(L)$ coincides that of $\sharp L - 1$, where $\sharp L$ is the number of components of L. Rasmussen, and Beliakova and Wehrli proved the following basic properties.

Theorem 2.6 ([41, Theorem 2])**.** *Let K and K' be oriented knots and $\bar{K}$ is the mirror image of K. Then we obtain*

$$s(K\#K') = s(K) + s(K'),$$
$$s(K) + s(\bar{K}) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.7 ([8, Lemma 7.1], [25, Proposition I. 23])**.** *Let L and L' be oriented links and $\bar{L}$ is the mirror image of L. Then we have*

$$s(L \amalg L') = s(L) + s(L') - 1,$$
$$s(L) + s(L') - 2 \leq s(L\#L') \leq s(L) + s(L'),$$
$$-2|L| + 2 \leq s(L) + s(\bar{L}) \leq 0.$$

Remark 2.8. To be precise, in [8, Lemma 7.1], Beliakova and Wehrli proved that $-2|L| + 2 \leq s(L) + s(\bar{L}) \leq 2$. In [25, Proposition I. 23], Lewark improved the inequalities, that is, proved that $s(L) + s(\bar{L}) \leq 0$. Moreover, we can see that the lower bound is sharp as follows: Let L be the (non-split) link depicted in Figure 3. Then, there is a surface F in B^4 such that F is bounded by L and the n-component unlink, and the Euler characteristic $\chi(F)$ is 0. By Theorem 2.9, the s-invariants $s(L)$ and $s(\bar{L})$ are $1 - n$. Hence, $s(L) + s(\bar{L}) = -2|L| + 2$.



FIGURE 3. This link is concordant to the n-component unlink.

Theorem 2.9 ([8, Section 7.2])**.** *Let L, L' be oriented links and S a smooth oriented cobordism from L to L' such that every components of S has boundary in L. Then we have*

$$s(L) + \chi(S) \leq s(L'),$$

where $\chi(S)$ is the Euler characteristic of the surface S.

Corollary 2.10. *Let L_+ be an oriented link and L_- be an oriented link obtained from L_+ by a single crossing change from positive to negative. Then, we have*

$$0 \leq s(L_+) - s(L_-) \leq 2.$$

Proof. The following proof is due to Livingston [27, Corollary 3]. Here, for the sake of the reader, we recall his argument.

We can change L_+ into L_- by using the band surgery twice. So we have

$$-2 \leq s(L_+) - s(L_-) \leq 2.$$

Let $L_+\#$(negative trefoil) be the link obtained from L_+ by connecting negative trefoil with the under arc near the crossing (see Figure 4). Then, we can also change $L_+\#$(negative trefoil) into L_- by using the band surgery twice. This means

$$s(L_-) - 2 \leq s(L_+\#(\text{negative trefoil})) \leq s(L_+) - 2,$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 2.7 and the fact that the s-invariant of the negative trefoil is -2. Hence, we obtain

$$0 \leq s(L_+) - s(L_-).$$

□



FIGURE 4. The link $L_+\#$(negative trefoil) changes into L_- by using the band surgery twice.

By the existence of a spectral sequence between the Khovanov homology and the Lee homology, we can relate the Khovanov homology to the s-invariant for links.

Proposition 2.11. *Let L be an oriented link. Then we obtain $H_{Kh}^{0,s(L)\pm1}(L) \neq 0$, where $s(L)$ is the s-invariant of L.*

Proof. The two elements $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} + \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{o}} - \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}}$ is contained in the 0-th term of the Lee homology of L. One of them has filtered degree $s(L)+1$ and another has filtered degree $s(L)-1$. By Theorem 2.4, there are nonzero elements $\tilde{v}_{\max}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\min}$ in $H_{Kh}^0(K)$ whose q-gradings are $s(K)+1$ and $s(K)-1$, respectively. □

3. Kawamura-Lobb's inequalities for the s-invariant

In this section, we recall Kawamura-Lobb's inequality for the s-invariant.

Here we recall some definitions. For a connected diagram D, let $w(D)$ be the writhe of D, $O(D)$ the number of Seifert circles for D and $O_+(D)$ (resp. $O_-(D)$) the number of connected components of the diagrams obtained from D by smoothing all negative (resp. positive) crossings of D. Kawamura ([20]) and Lobb ([28]) gave estimations for the s-invariant of a knot independently, which turned out to be the same estimation. The statement is the following.

Theorem 3.1 ([20], [28, Theorem 1.10])**.** *Let D be a connected oriented diagram of a link L. Then, we obtain*

$$w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(O_+(D) - 1) \leq s(L) \leq w(D) + O(D) - 1 - 2(O_-(D) - 1).$$

For the sake of the reader, in this section, we give a proof of the above theorem. Recall that the s-invariant behaves quite nicely with respect to cobordism of links, which is rather mysterious in the view of its combinatorial definition.

The following is well known.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that two links L and L' are related by n (orientation compatible) band surgeries. Then there exists a cobordism S between L and L' such that $\chi(S) = n$.*

We define a *positive diagram* by an oriented link diagram with only positive crossings. To prove Theorem 3.1, we need the two lemmas below.

Lemma 3.3. *Let D be a connected positive diagram of a link L. Then, we have*

$$s(L) = c(D) - O(D) + 1,$$

where $c(D)$ is the number of the crossings of D.

Proof. By Theorem 2.9 and the definition of the s-invariant of a link, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c(D) - O(D) + 1 &= c_+(D) - O(D) + 1 \\ &\leq \min\{s(\mathfrak{s}_o + \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{o}}), s(\mathfrak{s}_o - \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{o}})\} + 1 \\ &= s(L) \\ &\leq 1 - \chi(D) = c(D) - O(D) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_+(D)$ is the number of the positive crossings of D and $\chi(D)$ is the Euler characteristic of the Seifert surface obtained from D by Seifert's algorithm. □

An oriented link is *negative* if it has a diagram with only negative crossings. We call such a diagram negative diagram.

Lemma 3.4. *Let D be a connected negative diagram of a link L. Then*

$$s(L) = -c(D) + O(D) - 1.$$

Proof. Let n be the number of the components of L. Suppose that $n = 1$. In this case, Rasmussen proved that $s(L) = -s(\overline{L})$, where $\overline{L}$ is the mirror image of L. By Lemma 3.3,

$$s(L) = -s(\overline{L}) = -c(D) + O(D) - 1.$$

We suppose that $n \neq 1$. First, we prove the following inequality

$$-c(D) + O(D) - 1 \leq s(L)$$

by constructing a cobordism from a negative knot to L. Let c be a mutual crossing of D, where we call a crossing *mutual* if it lies on between two components of the corresponding link. Let D_c be the diagram obtained from D by smoothing at c. Then D_c is a negative diagram with $n-1$ components. By repeating this process $n-1$ times, we obtain a negative diagram D' of some knot K'. By the case $n = 1$,

$$s(K') = -c(D') + O(D') - 1 = -(c(D) - (n-1)) + O(D) - 1.$$

Now we have a cobordism S' from K' to L with $\chi(S') = -(n-1)$ by Lemma 3.2. By Theorem 2.9, we obtain

$$-c(D) + O(D) - 1 = s(K') + \chi(S') \leq s(L).$$

Next, we prove the following inequality

$$s(L) \leq -c(D) + O(D) - 1$$

by constructing a cobordism from L to a negative knot. Let c be a mutual crossing of D. Let D_t be the diagram obtained from D by twisting D around c as in Figure 5. Then D_t is a negative diagram with $n-1$ components. By repeating this process $n-1$ times, we obtain a negative diagram D'' of some knot K''. By the case $n = 1$,

$$s(K'') = -c(D'') + O(D'') - 1 = -(c(D) + (n-1)) + O(D) - 1.$$

Now we have a cobordism S'' between L and K'' with $\chi(S'') = -(n-1)$ by Lemma 3.2. By Theorem 2.9, we obtain

$$s(L) \leq s(K'') - \chi(S'') = -c(D) + O(D) - 1.$$



FIGURE 5. Twisting a crossing. A single band surgery achieves this process.

Therefore, we have

$$s(L) = -c(D) + O(D) - 1.$$

□

Recall that a crossing of D is *nugatory* if there exists a closed curve l such that the intersection of D and l is only a crossing of D (see also Figure 6). A diagram is *reduced* if it has no nugatory crossings. The following lemma is straightforward.



FIGURE 6. Nugatory crossings.

Lemma 3.5. *Let c be a crossing of a diagram D and let D' be the diagram obtained from D by smoothing at c. Then c is nugatory if and only if $\#D' - \#D = 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We prove

$$w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(O_+(D) - 1) \leq s(L)$$

by constructing a cobordism from a non-split positive link to L. Let $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n$ be negative crossings of D, where n is the number of negative crossings of D. We define to be $D_0 = D$ and let D_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ be the diagram obtained from D_{i-1} by smoothing at c_i (in D_{i-1}). Let $\#D_i$ be the number of the connected components of D_i (as a diagram). Then

$$1 = \#D_0 \leq \#D_1 \leq \cdots \leq \#D_n = O_+(D).$$

Since $0 \leq \#D_i - \#D_{i-1} \leq 1$, the number of crossings c_j such that $\#D_j - \#D_{j-1} = 1$ is $O_+(D) - 1$. Let $c_{j(1)}, c_{j(2)}, \cdots, c_{j(O_+(D)-1)}$ be such crossings. Note that $c_{j(i)}$ is nugatory in $D_{j(i)-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, O_+(D) - 1$ by Lemma 3.5. Now we modify D_n slightly. Let D' be the diagram obtained from D by smoothing all negative crossings of D without $c_{j(1)}, c_{j(2)}, \cdots, c_{j(O_+(D)-1)}$ and L' the link represented by D'. Note that D' is connected. The link L' is the desired one. First, by the construction, we have a cobordism S between L' and L with

$$\chi(S) = -(n - (O_+(D) - 1))$$

by Lemma 3.2. Furthermore, it is not difficult to see that crossings $c_{j(1)}, c_{j(2)}, \cdots, c_{j(O_+(D)-1)}$ in D' are nugatory (in fact, if there is a non-nugatory crossing $c_{j(i)}$ in these crossings, the number of the components of D_n is smaller than $O_+(D)$ because of the

connectedness of D'. This is a contradiction). Let D'' be the connected positive diagram obtained from D' by reducing $c_{j(1)}, c_{j(2)}, \cdots, c_{j(O_+(D)-1)}$. Then

$$c(D'') = c(D) - n, \quad O(D'') = O(D) - (O_+(D) - 1).$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(O_+(D) - 1) &= c(D) - 2n - O(D'') + (O_+(D) - 1) + 1 \\ &= c(D'') - O(D'') + 1 + \chi(S) \\ &= s(L') + \chi(S) \\ &\leq s(L). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we can prove

$$s(L) \leq w(D) + O(D) - 1 - 2(O_-(D) - 1)$$

by constructing a cobordism from L to a non-split negative link. □

By the same discussion, we can see the same inequalities for Ozsváth-Szabó's τ invariant.

Corollary 3.6 ([20])**.** *Let K be an oriented knot and D be an oriented knot diagram of K. Then, we obtain the following inequality.*

$$w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(O_+(D) - 1) \leq 2\tau(K) \leq w(D) + O(D) - 1 - 2(O_-(D) - 1),$$

where $\tau(K)$ is the Ozsváth-Szabó's τ invariant of K ([34] *and* [40]*).*

Remark 3.7. Precisely speaking, Theorem 3.1 was proved by Lobb. On the other hand, Kawamura proved that

$$w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(l_0(D) - 1) \leq s(L),$$

where $l_0(D)$ is the number of connected components of the link represented by the diagram obtained from D by smoothing all negative crossings of D. By the definition,

$$O_+(D) \leq l_0(D).$$

We can check that $O_+(D) = l_0(D)$ since a link with a connected positive diagram is non-split (see also [33]). Therefore Kawamura's lower bound and Lobb's one coincide.

4. Homogeneous links

For a fixed diagram D, we consider when the upper bound and the lower bound of Kawamura-Lobb's inequality coincide. The answer is when D is homogeneous. In particular, the s-invariant of any homogeneous link is determined by its homogeneous diagram and Kawamura-Lobb's inequality. This result was given by the first author ([1]). In this section, we see this result in terms of "$*$-product".

Let $L(D)$ and $U(D)$ be the lower bound and the upper bound of Kawamura-Lobb's inequality, respectively. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} L(D) &= w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(O_+(D) - 1), \\ U(D) &= w(D) + O(D) - 1 - 2(O_-(D) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily see that Kawamura-Lobb's inequality determined the s-invariant of a link represented by a positive or negative diagram.

Lemma 4.1. *Let D be a connected positive or negative diagram of a link. Then, we obtain $L(D) = U(D)$.*

Proof. Suppose that D is positive. Then we can compute that $O_+(D) = 1$ and $O_-(D) = O(D)$. These imply $L(D) = U(D)$.

By the same discussion, we have $L(D) = U(D)$ for a negative diagram D. □

We recall a certain decomposition of a diagram as follows. The Seifert circles of a diagram is divided into two types: a Seifert circle is of *type 1* if it does not contain any other Seifert circles in one of the complementary regions of the Seifert circle in $\mathbb{R}^2$, otherwise it is of *type 2*. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a knot diagram and C a type 2 Seifert circle of D. Then C separates $\mathbb{R}^2$ into two components U and V such that $U \cup V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $U \cap V = \partial U = \partial V = C$. Let D_1 and D_2 be the diagrams obtained form $D \cap U$ and $D \cap V$ by adding suitable arcs from C, respectively. If both $(U - C) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $(V - C) \cap D \neq \emptyset$, then C *decomposes* D into a $*$*-product* of D_1 and D_2, which is denoted by $D = D_1 * D_2$. A diagram is *special* if D has no decomposing Seifert circles of type 2. It is not hard to see that a special positive (or negative) diagram is alternating and a special alternating diagram is positive or negative. Clearly, any diagram is decomposed into

$$D_1 * D_2 * \cdots * D_n,$$

where D_i is a special diagram.

For a diagram, any simple closed curve in $\mathbf{R}^2$ meeting the diagram transversely at two points cuts the diagram into two parts. A diagram is *strongly prime* if one of such parts has no crossing for any simple closed curve meeting the diagram transversely at two points (see [26]). If D is not strongly prime, D is represented as a connected sum of non-trivial diagrams D_1 and D_2 on $\mathbf{R}^2$. Then we also write $D = D_1 * D_2$. Any diagram D is decomposed into

$$D_1 * D_2 * \cdots * D_n,$$

where D_i is a strongly prime diagram.

As a result, any diagram is decomposed into

$$D_1 * D_2 * \cdots * D_n,$$

where D_i is a special and strongly prime diagram. We call this decomposition $*$*-product decomposition* of D. The $*$-product decomposition of D depends only on D. On the other hand, for given diagrams D_1 and D_2, a $*$-product $D_1 * D_2$ is not well defined. Throughout this section, if we write $D = D_1 * D_2$, it is one of the diagrams which have such a $*$-product decomposition.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $D_1 * D_2$ be a connected diagram of a link. Then, we have*

$$L(D_1 * D_2) = L(D_1) + L(D_2)$$
$$U(D_1 * D_2) = U(D_1) + U(D_2).$$

Proof. It follows from the following facts:

$$\omega(D_1 * D_2) = \omega(D_1) + \omega(D_2),$$
$$O(D_1 * D_2) = O(D_1) + O(D_2) - 1,$$
$$O_+(D_1 * D_2) = O_+(D_1) + O_+(D_2) - 1,$$
$$O_-(D_1 * D_2) = O_-(D_1) + O_-(D_2) - 1.$$

□

A diagram is *homogeneous* if it has a $*$-product decomposition whose factors are some special alternating diagrams. A *homogeneous link* is a link represented by a

homogeneous diagram ([11], and see also [3], [4] and [29]). Obviously, positive links are homogeneous.

Let $\Delta(D)$ be the half of the difference between $U(D)$ and $L(D)$, that is,

$$\Delta(D) := (U(D) - L(D))/2 = O(D) + 1 - O_+(D) - O_-(D).$$

The following result ensures that $\Delta(D) = 0$ for any homogeneous diagram D.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $D = D_1 * D_2 * \cdots * D_n$ be a connected homogeneous diagram of a link L, where each D_i is a special alternating diagram. Then we obtain $\Delta(D) = 0$.*

Proof. Since any special alternating diagram is positive or negative, we have $\Delta(D_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$L(D) = \sum L(D_i) = \sum U(D_i) = U(D).$$

□

Corollary 4.4. *Let $D = D_1 * D_2 * \cdots * D_n$ be a connected homogeneous diagram of a link L, where each D_i is a special alternating diagram. Then, we have*

$$s(L) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s(D_i) = L(D) = U(D).$$

In particular, $s(\overline{L}) = -s(L)$.

The following theorem was proved by the first author. From Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 below, we see that $\Delta(D) = 0$ if and only if D is homogeneous.

Theorem 4.5 ([1])**.** *Let D be a connected diagram of a link L. If $\Delta(D) = 0$, then D is homogeneous.*

5. Difference between Kawamura-Lobb's and Kawamura's inequalities

Kawamura [21] gave another estimation for the s-invariant for any non-positive and non-negative knot. The first author [2] gave an alternative proof of the estimation by using state cycles of the Lee homology. In this section, we determine the difference between Kawamura-Lobb's inequality and Kawamura's inequality.

Let D be an oriented diagram of a link. A Seifert circle of D is *strongly negative (resp. positive)* if it is not adjacent to any positive (resp. negative) crossing. Let $O_<(D)$ (resp. $O_>(D)$) be the number of the strongly negative (resp. positive) circles of D. Then we obtain the following Kawamura's inequality.

Theorem 5.1 ([2], [21])**.** *Let D be a connected oriented diagram of a non-positive and non-negative link L. Then we obtain*

$$w(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2O_<(D) \leq s(L) \leq w(D) + O(D) - 1 - 2O_>(D),$$

where $w(D)$ is the writhe of D, $O(D)$ is the number of the Seifert circles of D and $s(L)$ is the s-invariant of L.

Remark 5.2. Kawamura [21] and the first author [2] only proved the above theorem for the s-invariants of knots. However, both of their methods can be applied to the s-invariants for links.

Any strongly negative (resp. positive) circle of D is a connected component of the diagram obtained from D by smoothing all negative (resp. positive) crossings of D. Hence, we obtain

$$O_<(D) + 1 \leq O_+(D),$$
$$O_>(D) + 1 \leq O_-(D),$$

in particular, we notice that Kawamura-Lobb's inequality is sharper than Kawamura's inequality.

Let D be a connected oriented link diagram and S_D be the Seifert graph of D, that is, the vertices of S_D correspond to the Seifert circles of D and two vertices are connected by an edge with the label $+$ (resp. $-$) if there is a positive (resp. negative) crossing of D which is adjacent to the circles corresponding to the two vertices. Let S_D^+ (resp. S_D^-) be the graph obtained from S_D by removing all the edges with the label $-$ (resp. $+$) and all the vertices corresponding to the strongly negative (resp. positive) circles of D. If D is positive (resp. negative), the graph S_D^- (resp. S_D^+) is empty. Then we have the following.

Lemma 5.3. *Let D be a connected oriented link diagram. Then we obtain*

$$O_<(D) + |S_D^+| = O_+(D),$$
$$O_>(D) + |S_D^-| = O_-(D),$$

where $|S_D^+|$ and $|S_D^-|$ is the number of the components of S_D^+ and S_D^-, respectively.

Proof. From the definition, $O_+(D)$ is the number of the components of the graph obtained from S_D by removing all the edges with the label $-$. It is equal to the number of the strongly negative circles of D and the components of S_D^+. Hence we obtain the first equality. By the same discussion, we have the second one. □

Corollary 5.4. *For any oriented diagram D, the graph S_D^+ (resp. S_D^-) is connected and not empty if and only if $O_<(D) + 1 = O_+(D)$ (resp. $O_>(D) + 1 = O_-(D)$).*

Remark 5.5. From Theorems 4.3 and 4.5, for a link diagram D, the lower bound and the upper bound of Kawamura-Lobb's inequality are equal if and only if D is homogeneous. On the other hand, from Corollary 5.4, the lower bound and the upper bound of Kawamura's inequality are equal if and only if D is homogeneous, and S_D^+ and S_D^- are connected and non-empty. Such a diagram has a $*$-product decomposition whose factors are one positive diagram and one negative diagram. In [25], Lewark called such a diagram *good diagram*.

6. The s-invariants of strongly quasipositive links

In this section, we give a computation of the s-invariant of strongly quasipositive links. Recall that, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the *n-braid group* B_n, is a group which has the following presentation.

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_t\sigma_s = \sigma_s\sigma_t & (|t-s| > 1) \\ \sigma_t\sigma_s\sigma_t = \sigma_s\sigma_t\sigma_s & (|t-s| = 1) \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Rudolph introduced the concept of a (strongly) quasipositive link (see [42]) as follows: For $0 < i < j - 1 < n$, we define *positive embedded band* $\sigma_{i,j}$ as

$$\sigma_{i,j} := (\sigma_i, \cdots, \sigma_{j-2})(\sigma_{j-1})(\sigma_i, \cdots, \sigma_{j-2})^{-1},$$

and

$$\sigma_{j-1,j} := \sigma_{j-1},$$

(see Figure 7). A link is *strongly quasipositive* if it is represented by the closure of a braid of the form

$$\beta = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{i_k,j_k}.$$

Let L be a strongly quasipositive link represented by the closure of β. Then L bounds a surface F in S^3 naturally, called a *quasipotive surface* (see Figure 8). The Euler characteristic $\chi(F)$ of the surface is equal to $n-m$, where n is the number of strands of β.



FIGURE 7. Positive embedded band.



FIGURE 8. An example of a quasipositive surface. The closure of $\sigma_1\sigma_{2,4}\sigma_{1,4}$ bounds the right quasipositive surface.

For a strongly quasipositive knot K, Livingston [27] and Shumakovitch [45] proved that

$$\tau(K) = s(K)/2 = g_*(K) = g(K) = g(F),$$

where $g_*(L)$ is the minimum number of genera of all smooth compact connected oriented surfaces bounded by L in B^4, $g(L)$ is the genus of L and F is a quasipositive surface for K. These results are easily generalized to the s-invariant for links.

Theorem 6.1 (cf. [27])**.** *Let L be a non-split strongly quasipositive link with $\sharp L$ components. Then*

$$s(L) = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = 2g(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = -\chi(F) + 1,$$

where F is a quasipotive surface bounded by L.

Proof. Suppose that L is represented as a closure of an n-braid $\beta = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{i_k,j_k}$. Since $\chi(F) = n - m$, there is a cobordism S between L and the trivial knot such that $\chi(S) = \chi(F) - 1 = n - m - 1$. By Theorem 2.9, we obtain

$$s(L) \leq -\chi(S) = m - n + 1.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$m - n + 1 = \omega(D) - O(D) + 1 + 2(O_+(D) - 1) \leq s(L).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$s(L) = -\chi(F) + 1 = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = 2g(L) + (\sharp L - 1).$$

□

Remark 6.2. In general, Theorem 6.1 does not hold for split links. In fact, if L is 2-component unlink, $s(L) = -1$ and $2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = 1$.

Remark 6.3. A link is *quasipositive* if it is the closure of a braid of the form

$$\beta = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \omega_k \sigma_{i_k} \omega_k^{-1},$$

where ω_k is a word in B_n. Let K be a quasipositive knot. Then $\tau(K) = s(K)/2 = g_*(K)$. This is due to Plamenevskaya [36] and Hedden [15] for τ, and Plamenevskaya [37] and Shumakovitch [45] for s. By the same discussion, we obtain the following: Let L be a quasipositive link with $\sharp L$ components. Then we obtain $s(L) = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1)$.

7. Characterization of positive links

In this section, we prove characterizations of positive links.

Lemma 7.1. *Let D be a connected reduced homogeneous diagram of a link L with $\sharp L$ components. If $s(L) = 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1$, then D has no negative crossings.*

Proof. Let D be a connected reduced homogeneous diagram of L. Then the genus of L is realized by that of the surface constructed by applying Seifert's algorithm to D (see [11]). Therefore, we obtain

$$2g(L) = 2 - \sharp L + c(D) - O(D),$$

where $c(D)$ denotes the number of crossings of D. By Theorem 4.3, we have

$$s(L) = w(D) - O(D) + 2O_+(D) - 1.$$

By the assumption, $s(L) = 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1$. This implies that $O_+(D) - 1 = c_-(D)$, where $c_-(D)$ denotes the number of negative crossings of D. If there exists a (non-nugatory) negative crossing p of D, then $O_+(D) - 1 < c_-(D)$. This contradicts the fact that $O_+(D) - 1 = c_-(D)$. Therefore D has no negative crossing. □

Theorem 7.2 (Theorem 1.3)**.** *Let L be a non-split link with $\sharp L$ components. Then* (1)–(4) *are equivalent.*
(1) *L is positive.*
(2) *L is homogeneous and strongly quasipositive.*
(3) *L is homogeneous, quasipositive and $g_*(L) = g(L)$.*
(4) *L is homogeneous and $s(L) = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1) = 2g(L) + (\sharp L - 1)$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) A positive link is strongly quasipositive ([31] and [44]) and homogeneous.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) If L is strongly quasipositive, obviously L is quasipositive. Moreover, from Theorem 6.1, we have $g_*(L) = g(L)$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Since L is a quasipositive link, $s(L) = 2g_*(L) + (\sharp L - 1)$ (see Remark 6.3). By the assumption, $g_*(L) = g(L)$. Therefore $s(L) = 2g_*(L)+(\sharp L-1) = 2g(L) + (\sharp L - 1)$.
(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By Lemma 7.1, a homogeneous diagram of L with $s(L) = 2g(L)+(\#L-1)$ is a positive diagram. □

Corollary 7.3. *Let L be an alternating link L with $\sharp L$ components. Then L is positive if and only if $s(L) = 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1$.*

Proof. Cromwell [11] showed that alternating link diagrams are homogeneous. From Theorem 1.3, an alternating link L is positive if and only if L satisfies $s(L) = 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1$. □

The following was proved by Nakamura [32].

Corollary 7.4 ([32])**.** *Let L be a positive and alternating link. Then any reduced alternating diagram of L is positive.*

Proof. It is known that a reduced alternating link diagram D of L are homogeneous. If L is positive, we have $s(L) = 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1$. By Lemma 7.1, the diagram D has no negative crossing, that is, positive. □

8. The s-invariants of almost positive links

In this section, we compute the s-invariants of almost positive links.

A diagram is *almost positive* if it has exactly one negative crossing. Then, we can see that an almost positive link is not positive and is represented by an almost positive diagram.

From Theorem 2.9, for any oriented link L, we obtain $s(L) \leq 2g_*(L) + \sharp L - 1$. On the other hand, for an almost positive link diagram D of a non-split link L, we obtain $H_{Kh}^{0,j}(L) = 0$ if $j < -O(D)+w(D) = 2g(D)+\sharp L-4$, where $g(D)$ is the genus of the Seifert surface obtained from D by Seifert's algorithm. By Proposition 2.11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(D) + \sharp L - 3 \leq s(L) &\leq 2g_*(L) + \sharp L - 1 \\ &\leq 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1 \\ &\leq 2g(D) + \sharp L - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Stoimenow proved that the three-genera of almost positive links are computed from their almost positive diagrams as follows.

Theorem 8.1 ([49, Corollary 5 and the proof of Theorems 5 and 6])**.** *Let D be an almost positive diagram of a non-split link L with a negative crossing p.*

1. *If there is no (positive) crossing joining the same two Seifert circles of D as the circles which are connected by the negative crossing p, we have $g(L) = g(D)$ (see the left of Figure 9).*
2. *If there is a (positive) crossing joining the same two Seifert circles of D as the circles which are connected by the negative crossing p, we have $g(L) = g(D) - 1$ (see the right of Figure 9).*



FIGURE 9. In the left picture, there is no crossing joining the same two Seifert circles as the two circles which are connected by the negative crossing p. In the right picture, there is a crossing joining the same two Seifert circles as the two circles which are connected by the negative crossing p.

By the same discussion as [53], we can compute the s-invariants of almost positive links as follows.

Theorem 8.2. *Let D be an almost positive diagram of a link L with negative crossing p.*

(1) *If there is no crossing joining the same two Seifert circles of D as the two circles which are connected by the negative crossing p, we obtain*

$$s(L) + 1 - \sharp L = 2g_*(L) = 2g(L) = 2g(D),$$

(2) *otherwise, we obtain*

$$s(L) + 1 - \sharp L = 2g_*(L) = 2g(L) = 2g(D) - 2.$$

Proof. Let D_+ be the positive diagram obtained from D by the crossing change at p and L_+ the link represented by D_+. From Theorem 2.9, Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 2.10, we obtain

$$s(L_+) - 2 \leq s(L) \leq s(L_+), \tag{8.1}$$

$$|s(L)| \leq 2g_*(L) + \sharp L - 1 \leq 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1, \tag{8.2}$$

$$s(L_+) + 1 - \sharp L = 2g_*(L_+) = 2g(L_+) = 2g(D_+)(= 2g(D)). \tag{8.3}$$

(1) Suppose that there is no (positive) crossing joining the same two Seifert circles as the circles which are connected by the negative crossing p: By (8.1), we can see that $s(L) = s(L_+)$ or $s(L_+) - 2$. From Lemma 8.3 below and (8.3), we have $s(L) \neq 2g(D) + \sharp L - 3 = s(L_+) - 2$. Hence, we obtain $s(L) = s(L_+) = 2g(D) + \sharp L - 1$. From (8.2), we have

$$2g(D) + \sharp L - 1 = s(L) \leq 2g_*(L) + \sharp L - 1 \leq 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1 \leq 2g(D) + \sharp L - 1.$$

(2) Suppose that there is a (positive) crossing joining the same two Seifert circles as the circles which are connected by the negative crossing p: From Theorem 8.1, (8.2) and (8.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(D) + \sharp L - 3 &= s(L_+) - 2 \\ &\leq s(L) \\ &\leq 2g_*(L) + \sharp L - 1 \\ &\leq 2g(L) + \sharp L - 1 = 2g(D) + \sharp L - 3. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Corollary 1.7. From Theorem 1.3, a homogeneous link L satisfying $s(L) = 2g_*(L)+(\sharp L-1) = 2g(L)+(\sharp L-1)$ is a positive link. From Theorem 8.2, all almost positive links satisfy $s(L) = 2g_*(L)+(\sharp L-1) = 2g(L)+(\sharp L-1)$. Hence any almost positive link is not homogeneous. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Theorem 1.6 follows from Theorems 6.1 and 8.2. □

Lemma 8.3 ([53, Lemma 3.4])**.** *Let D be an almost positive link diagram of a non-split link L with a negative crossing p. If there is no (positive) crossing of D joining the same two Seifert circles as the circles which are connected by the negative crossing p, we have $H_{Kh}^{0,2g(D)+\sharp L-4}(L) = 0$, where $\sharp L$ is the number of the components of L.*

9. Strong quasipositivities of almost positive knots with up to 12 crossings

In order to present evidence towards an affirmative answer to Stoimenow's question (Question 1.5), in this section, we check the strong quasipositivities of almost positive knots with up to 12 crossings. In Subsection 9.1, we find all knots which are or may be almost positive with up to 12 crossings. In Subsection 9.2, we check the strong quasipositivities of these knots.

9.1. The positivities and almost positivities of knots up to 12 crossings.

In this subsection, we consider the positivities and almost positivities of knots with up to 12 crossings. In this section, we call a knot positive if the knot or the mirror image of the knot has a positive diagram. By using Propositions 9.1 and 9.7, Theorems 1.3, 9.3–9.6 and 9.8–9.9, and Lemma 9.10 below, we can determine the positivities and almost positivities of knots with up to 12 crossings except for 12_{n148}, 12_{n276}, 12_{n329}, 12_{n366}, 12_{n402}, 12_{n528} and 12_{n660}, which have almost positive diagrams (here we used KnotInfo [9] due to Cha and Livingston and mathematica package "KnotTheory"[5]). See Table 1.

Proposition 9.1 ([54, Proposition 2.2])**.** *For any oriented link L, put $i_{\max}(L) := \max\{i \in \mathbf{Z} | H_{Kh}^i(L) \neq 0\}$ and $c_+(L) := \min\{c_+(D) | D$ is a diagram of $L\}$, where $c_+(D)$ is the number of the positive crossings of D. Then we have $i_{\max}(L) \leq c_+(L)$.*

Remark 9.2. The maximal degree of the Khovanov homology was studied in [50], [51], [52] and [54].

Theorem 9.3 ([38, Theorem 1], [55])**.** *Nontrivial positive links have negative signature.*

Theorem 9.4 ([39, Corollary 1.7], [48, Corollary 6.1])**.** *Nontrivial almost positive links have negative signature.*

Theorem 9.5 ([11, Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2], [56])**.** *If L is an almost positive link or a positive link, then all coefficients of its Conway polynomial are non-negative.*

Theorem 9.6 ([49, Theorem 6])**.** *If L is an almost positive link, then*

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}_z \nabla_L(z) = \operatorname{maxdeg}_z P_L(v,z) = 1 - \chi(L),$$

where ∇_L is the Conway polynomial and $P_L(v,z)$ is the HOMFLYPT polynomial.

Proposition 9.7 ([47, Proposition 6.2])**.** *Let K be an almost positive knot with $g(K) \geq 3$. Then its signature $\sigma(K)$ is smaller than or equal to -4.*

Theorem 9.8 ([11, Corollary 5.1])**.** *If L is a homogeneous link and the coefficient of the maximal degree term of its Conway polynomial is ± 1, then the number of the crossings of a homogeneous diagram of L is at most $2 \cdot maxdeg_z \nabla_L(z)$, where $maxdeg_z \nabla_L(z)$ is the maximal degree of the Conway polynomial of L. In particular, the minimal crossing number of L is at most $2 \cdot maxdeg_z \nabla_L(z)$.*

Theorem 9.9 ([18, Theorem 1.4])**.** *Positive knots up to genus two are quasialternating.*

For the definition of quasi-alternating links, see [35].

Lemma 9.10. *The knot 12_{n638} is a positive knot.*

Proof. See Figure 10. □



FIGURE 10. The knot 12_{n638} has a positive diagram.

Remark 9.11. In the above process, we find some almost positive knots, 10_{145}, 12_{n149}, 12_{n332}, 12_{n404}, 12_{n432} and 12_{n642}. They have almost positive diagrams, and 10_{145}, 12_{n404} and 12_{n642} are not homogeneous by Theorem 9.8. The knots 12_{n149}, 12_{n332} and 12_{n432} are not positive by Theorem 9.9.

The knots 12_{n148}, 12_{n276}, 12_{n329}, 12_{n366}, 12_{n402}, 12_{n528} and 12_{n660} are either positive or almost positive since they have almost positive diagrams. In general, it is hard to check whether given almost positive link diagram represents a positive link or not.

Question 9.12. *Are the knots 12_{n148}, 12_{n276}, 12_{n329}, 12_{n366}, 12_{n402}, 12_{n528} and 12_{n660} non-positive? (If so, they are almost positive knots.)*

Remark 9.13. In [48, Example 6.1] and [49, Corollary 10], Stoimenow introduced infinitely many almost positive knots.

	≤ 11 crossings	12 crossings
total	801	2176
non-positive (negative) knots	693	$2031 \leq, \leq 2038$
positive (negative) knots	108	$138 \leq, \leq 145$
almost positive (negative) knots	1	$5 \leq, \leq 12$

TABLE 1. The positivities of knots with up to 12 crossings. To determine the almost positivities of some knots, we use Theorem 9.6 and Proposition 9.7. The only almost positive knot with up to 11 crossings is 10_{145}. The knots, 12_{n149}, 12_{n332}, 12_{n404}, 12_{n432} and 12_{n642} are almost positive. Are 12_{n148}, 12_{n276}, 12_{n329}, 12_{n366}, 12_{n402}, 12_{n528}, and 12_{n660} almost positive?

9.2. **Strong quasipositivities of almost positive knots with up to 12 crossings.** We check the strong quasipositivities of almost positive knots with up to 12 crossings. In this section, we call a knot strongly quasipositive if the knot or the mirror image of the knot is strongly quasipositive.

From Table 1, the 6 knots, 10_{145}, 12_{n149}, 12_{n332}, 12_{n404}, 12_{n432} and 12_{n642} are almost positive. In addition, the 7 knots, 12_{n148}, 12_{n276}, 12_{n329}, 12_{n366}, 12_{n402}, 12_{n528}, and 12_{n660} may be almost positive, and other knots with up to 12 crossings are not almost positive. From Lemmas 9.15 and 9.17 below and Table 1, we obtain the following proposition. The proposition is evidence towards an affirmative answer to Question 1.5.

Proposition 9.14. *All almost positive knots with up to* 12 *crossings are strongly quasipositive.*

Lemma 9.15. *The* 9 *knots,* 10_{145}, 12_{n148}, 12_{n276}, 12_{n329}, 12_{n366}, 12_{n402}, 12_{n528}, 12_{n642} *and* 12_{n660} *are strongly quasipositive.*

Proof. It is known that these knots are fibered (KnotInfo [9]). These knots are positive or almost positive because they have almost positive diagrams. Note that positive links are strongly quasipositive i[31] and [44]j. By Theorem 9.16 below, these knots are strongly quasipositive. □

Theorem 9.16. *All fibered almost positive knots are strongly quasipositive.*

Proof. Let K be a fibered almost positive knot and D be an almost positive diagram. Obviously, the diagram D has a $*$-product decomposition whose factors are some positive diagrams $D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$ and one special almost positive diagram D_n. Let S and S_i be the Seifert surfaces obtained from D and D_i, respectively. We consider two cases as follows.

(i) Suppose that there is no crossing joining the same two Seifert circles of D as the two circles which are connected by the negative crossing: In this case, by Theorem 8.1, the surface S has minimal genus. In particular, the surface is the fiber surface. By Gabai's results ([12], [13]), the Seifert surface S_i is also the fiber surface. Then, by Goda-Hirasawa-Yamamoto's result ([14, Corollary 1.8]), the fiber surface S_n is a plumbing of positive Hopf bands. Since the positive Hopf band is a quasipositive surface and plumbings preserve the quasipositivites of surfaces ([43]), the surface S_n is quasipositive. Hence, the surface S is quasipositive since it is a Murasugi sum of the quasipositive surfaces $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ ([43]). In particular, the knot K is strongly quasipotive.

(ii) In other cases, by the same discussion as Theorem 8.2 (2), we have

$$\tau(K) = g_4(K) = g(K) = g(D) - 1,$$

where $\tau(K)$ is Ozsváth-Szabó's τ invariant of K. Hedden ([15, Theorem 1.2]) proved that for a fibered knot K', the knot is strongly quasipositive if and only if $\tau(K') = g_4(K') = g(K')$. Hence, K is strongly quasipositive. □

Lemma 9.17. *The knots* 12_{n149}, 12_{n332}, 12_{n404} *and* 12_{n432} *(see Figure* 11*) are strongly quasipositive.*

Proof. Firstly, we check the strong quasipositivity of 12_{n149}. As the pictures in Figure 12 show, the canonical Seifert surface of a positive knot diagram is obtained from a Seifert surface of 12_{n149} by two deplumbings. Note that the canonical Seifert surface of a positive knot diagram is quasipositive i[31] and [44]j. Since plumbings and deplumbings preserve the quasipositivities of surfacesi[43]j, this Seifert surface

of 12_{n149} is quasipositive. Hence 12_{n149} is strongly quasipositive. By the same discussion, we can prove that 12_{n332}, 12_{n404} and 12_{n432} are strongly quasipositive (see Figures 13, 14 and 15). □



FIGURE 11. 12_{n149}, 12_{n332}, 12_{n404} and 12_{n432}.



FIGURE 12. The top left picture is the canonical Seifert surface of an almost positive diagram of 12_{n149}. These pictures show that the Seifert surface is quasipositive.



FIGURE 13. A proof of the strong quasipositivity of 12_{n332}.



FIGURE 14. A proof of the strong quasipositivity of 12_{n404}.



FIGURE 15. A proof of the strong quasipositivity of 12_{n432}.

10. Appendix: infinitely many counterexamples of Kauffman's conjecture on pseudo-alternating links and alternative links.

In this section, we give infinitely many counterexamples of Kauffman's conjecture on pseudo-alternating links and alternative links.

At first, we recall the definition of pseudo-alternating links [30]. A *primitive flat surface* is the canonical Seifert surface obtained from a special alternating diagram by Seifert's algorithm. A *generalized flat surface* is an orientable surface obtained from some primitive flat surfaces by Murasugi sum along their Seifert disks (for example, see the bottom figure in Figure 17). Then, an oriented link is *pseudo-alternating* if it bounds a generalized flat surface.

Next, we recall the definition of alternative links [19]. For a link diagram D, the *spaces of* D are the connected components of the complement of the Seifert circles of D in S^2. We draw an edge joining two Seifert circles at the place where a crossing of D connects the circles. Moreover, we assign the sign "+" (resp. "−") to an edge if the crossing corresponding to the edge is positive (resp. negative). Then, an oriented diagram D is *alternative* if for each space X of D, all the edges in X have the same sign.

From the definitions, we have the following.

Corollary 10.1. *All alternative links are homogeneous. All homogeneous links are pseudo-alternating.*

Kauffman conjectured that all pseudo-alternating links are alternative.

Conjecture 10.2 ([19])**.** All pseudo-alternating links are alternative.

However, this conjecture is fault. In fact Silvero [46] introduced two counterexamples, 10_{145} and $L9n18$.

Here, we prove that the infinitely many almost positive knots introduced by Stoimenow (which contains 10_{145}) are counterexamples for this conjecture.

Proposition 10.3. *Let K_n be the knot depicted in Figure 16. Then, K_n is non-alternative and is pseudo-alternating.*

Proof. Stoimenow [48, Example 6.1] proved that K_n is almost positive. From Corollary 1.7, the knot K_n is not homogeneous, in particular, not alternative. On the other hand, from Figure 17, the knot K_n bounds a generalized flat surface. □

Proof of Proposition 1.8. This follows from Proposition 10.3. □

Finally, we give two questions.

Question 10.4. *Are all almost positive links pseudo-alternating?*

Question 10.5. *Are all homogeneous links alternative?*



FIGURE 16. The knot K_n introduced by Stoimenow [48, Example 6.1], where $n \geq 0$ is the number of the full twists. Stoimenow proved that K_n is almost positive.

Acknowledgements: The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 25005998. Sections 3 and 4 were written in 2011 during his stay at Indiana University. He deeply thanks Paul Kirk and Chuck Livingston for their hospitality. He also thanks Kokoro Tanaka for telling him Corollary 7.4 a few years ago. The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 25001362. He would like to thank Kálmán Tamás for his helpful comments and warm encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. T. Abe, *The Rasmussen invariant of a homogeneous knot*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 7, 2647–2656. MR 2784833 (2012c:57010)
2. ———, *State cycles which represent the canonical class of Lee's homology of a knot*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), no. 4, 1146–1158. MR 2876721
3. S. Baader, *Quasipositivity and homogeneity*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **139** (2005), no. 2, 287–290. MR 2168087 (2006g:57008)



FIGURE 17. The top left picture is a Seifert surface of K_n. By isotopy, the surface changes into the bottom surface which is a generalized flat surface.

4. J. E. Banks, *Homogeneous links, Seifert surfaces, digraphs and the reduced Alexander polynomial*, Geom. Dedicata **166** (2013), 67–98. MR 3101161
5. D. Bar-Natan, *The Knot Atlas*, http://www.math.toronto.edu/drorbn/KAtlas/.
6. ———, *On Khovanov's categorification of the Jones polynomial*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **2** (2002), 337–370 (electronic). MR 1917056 (2003h:57014)
7. ———, *Khovanov's homology for tangles and cobordisms*, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 1443–1499. MR 2174270 (2006g:57017)
8. A. Beliakova and S. Wehrli, *Categorification of the colored Jones polynomial and Rasmussen invariant of links*, Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 6, 1240–1266. MR 2462446 (2011b:57010)
9. J. C. Cha and C. Livingston, *KnotInfo*, http://www.indiana.edu/ knotinfo/.
10. T. D. Cochran and R. E. Gompf, *Applications of Donaldson's theorems to classical knot concordance, homology* 3*-spheres and property P*, Topology **27** (1988), no. 4, 495–512. MR 976591 (90g:57020)
11. P. R. Cromwell, *Homogeneous links*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), no. 3, 535–552. MR 1002465 (90f:57001)
12. D. Gabai, *The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation*, Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), Contemp. Math., vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 131–143. MR 718138 (85d:57003)
13. ———, *The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation. II*, Combinatorial methods in topology and algebraic geometry (Rochester, N.Y., 1982), Contemp. Math., vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985, pp. 93–100. MR 813105 (87f:57003)
14. H. Goda, M. Hirasawa, and R. Yamamoto, *Almost alternating diagrams and fibered links in* S^3, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 2, 472–492. MR 1839463 (2002d:57008)
15. M. Hedden, *Notions of positivity and the Ozsváth-Szabó concordance invariant*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **19** (2010), no. 5, 617–629. MR 2646650 (2011j:57020)
16. V. F. R. Jones, *A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **12** (1985), no. 1, 103–111. MR 766964 (86e:57006)
17. ———, *Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 2, 335–388. MR 908150 (89c:46092)
18. I. D. Jong and K. Kishimoto, *On positive knots of genus two*, Kobe J. Math. **30** (2013), no. 1-2, 1–18. MR 3157050
19. L. H. Kauffman, *Formal knot theory*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983. MR 712133 (85b:57006)
20. T. Kawamura, *An estimate of Rasmussen invariant for links and the determination for certain links*, to appear in Topology Appl.
21. ———, *The Rasmussen invariants and the sharper slice-Bennequin inequality on knots*, Topology **46** (2007), no. 1, 29–38. MR 2288725 (2008c:57025)

22. M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 3, 359–426. MR 1740682 (2002j:57025)
23. P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, *Gauge theory and Rasmussen's invariant*, J. Topol. **6** (2013), no. 3, 659–674. MR 3100886
24. E. S. Lee, *An endomorphism of the Khovanov invariant*, Adv. Math. **197** (2005), no. 2, 554–586. MR 2173845 (2006g:57024)
25. L. Lewark, *The Rasmussen invariant of arborescent and of mutant links*, http://maths.dur.ac.uk/ vxhn54/Master-Lukas-Lewark.pdf.
26. W. B. R. Lickorish, *An introduction to knot theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 175, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR 1472978 (98f:57015)
27. C. Livingston, *Computations of the Ozsváth-Szabó knot concordance invariant*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 735–742 (electronic). MR 2057779 (2005d:57019)
28. A. Lobb, *Computable bounds for Rasmussen's concordance invariant*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 2, 661–668. MR 2776617
29. P. M. G. Manchón, *Homogeneous links and the Seifert matrix*, Pacific J. Math. **255** (2012), no. 2, 373–392. MR 2928557
30. E. J. Mayland and K. Murasugi, *On a structural property of the groups of alternating links*, Canad. J. Math. **28** (1976), no. 3, 568–588. MR 0402718 (53 #6532)
31. T. Nakamura, *Four-genus and unknotting number of positive knots and links*, Osaka J. Math. **37** (2000), no. 2, 441–451. MR 1772843 (2001e:57005)
32. ———, *Positive alternating links are positively alternating*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **9** (2000), no. 1, 107–112. MR 1749503 (2001a:57016)
33. M. Ozawa, *Closed incompressible surfaces in the complements of positive knots*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), no. 2, 235–243. MR 1915040 (2003e:57012)
34. P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 615–639. MR 2026543 (2004i:57036)
35. ———, *On the Heegaard Floer homology of branched double-covers*, Adv. Math. **194** (2005), no. 1, 1–33. MR 2141852 (2006e:57041)
36. O. Plamenevskaya, *Bounds for the Thurston-Bennequin number from Floer homology*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **4** (2004), 399–406. MR 2077671 (2005d:57039)
37. ———, *Transverse knots and Khovanov homology*, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 4, 571–586. MR 2250492 (2007d:57043)
38. J. H. Przytycki, *Positive knots have negative signature*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **37** (1989), no. 7-12, 559–562 (1990). MR 1101920 (92a:57010)
39. J. H. Przytycki and K. Taniyama, *Almost positive links have negative signature*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **19** (2010), no. 2, 187–289. MR 2647054 (2011d:57041)
40. J. Rasmussen, *Floer homology and knot complements*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2003, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University. MR 2704683
41. ———, *Khovanov homology and the slice genus*, Invent. Math. **182** (2010), no. 2, 419–447. MR 2729272
42. L. Rudolph, *Constructions of quasipositive knots and links. I*, Knots, braids and singularities (Plans-sur-Bex, 1982), Monogr. Enseign. Math., vol. 31, Enseignement Math., Geneva, 1983, pp. 233–245. MR 728589 (86k:57004)
43. ———, *Quasipositive plumbing (constructions of quasipositive knots and links. V)*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 1, 257–267. MR 1452826 (98h:57024)
44. ———, *Positive links are strongly quasipositive*, Proceedings of the Kirbyfest (Berkeley, CA, 1998), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 2, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 1999, pp. 555–562 (electronic). MR 1734423 (2000j:57015)
45. A. N. Shumakovitch, *Rasmussen invariant, slice-Bennequin inequality, and sliceness of knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **16** (2007), no. 10, 1403–1412. MR 2384833 (2008m:57034)
46. M. Silvero, *On a conjecture by Kauffman on alternative and pseudoalternating links*, arXiv:math. 1402.4599.
47. A. Stoimenow, *Diagram genus, generators and applications*, arXiv:math. GT/1101.3390.
48. ———, *Gauß diagram sums on almost positive knots*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 1, 228–254. MR 2004131 (2004i:57011)
49. ———, *On polynomials and surfaces of variously positive links*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **7** (2005), no. 4, 477–509. MR 2159224 (2006d:57014)
50. M. Stošić, *Homological thickness and stability of torus knots*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **7** (2007), 261–284. MR 2308944 (2008h:57013)

51. ———, *Khovanov homology of torus links*, Topology Appl. **156** (2009), no. 3, 533–541. MR 2492301 (2011b:57008)
52. K. Tagami, *The maximal degree of the Khovanov homology of a cable links*, Algebr. Geom. Topol **13** (2013), no. 5, 2845–2896.
53. ———, *The Rasmussen invariant, four-genus and three-genus of an almost positive knot are equal*, Canad. Math. Bull. **57(2)** (2014), 431–438.
54. ———, *The behavior of the maximal degree of the Khovanov homology under twisting*, Topology. Proc. **46** (2015 (E-published on April 4, 2014)), 45–54.
55. P. Traczyk, *Nontrivial negative links have positive signature*, Manuscripta Math. **61** (1988), no. 3, 279–284. MR 949818 (89g:57010)
56. J. M. Van Buskirk, *Positive knots have positive Conway polynomials*, Knot theory and manifolds (Vancouver, B.C., 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1144, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 146–159. MR 823288 (87f:57007)

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku Osaka 558-8585 Japan
E-mail address: abe.t.av@m.titech.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
E-mail address: tagami.k.aa@m.titech.ac.jp